Case 5:05-cv-01671-JF Document 13 Filed 09/15/2005

Page 1 of 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



Herbert Cevallos, et a	1.,	CASE NO. 05-1671-JF
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 05-10/1-si
v. Sodexho, Inc.		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
Counsel r	eport that they have met tion pursuant to Civil L.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree	to participate in the following	owing ADR process:
✓ M	on-binding Arbitration ( arly Neutral Evaluation ( ediation (ADR L.R. 6)	ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably more ADR phone conj	e likely to meet their nee ference and may not file	settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private I ✔ P:		tify process and provider)
	_	ed for December 15, 2005 in San Francisco.
· 🗸 th	e to hold the ADR session presumptive deadline referring the case to an A	on by: (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
o	ther requested deadline	
Dated: 9/15/05		/s/ Jerry Budin Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated:		Arrorney for Defendant

## Case 5:05-cv-01671-JF Document 15 Filed 09/21/05 Page 2 of 2

Case 5:05-cv-01671-JF Document 13 Filed 09/15/2005 Page 2 of 2

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 9/2//0

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE